	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/439,310	GLOOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James A. Reagan	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to the amendment filed	<u>on 15 April 2004</u> .		
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-4</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	r.		
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority uner a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give. 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") muer (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date 26 Feeton./Mail Date 26 Feeton./Mail Date 26 Feeton./Mail Date 26 Feeton./Mail Date 27 CFR 4 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT. 	e been received. e been received in Application No becoments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application. Initted. Note the attached EXAMINER res reason(s) why the oath or declarate st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- becoment / Comment or in the Comment or in the Comment of the declarate the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(posit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in	national stage applical complying with the red'S AMENDMENT or Nation is deficient. -948) attached Office action of the (d). must be submitted.	quirements NOTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	Paper No./Mail Da	/ (PTO-413), ate ment/Comment	

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 15 April 2004.
- **2.** Claims 1-4 have been examined.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 are allowed. See Reasons for Allowance under separate heading.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 4. An Examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 5. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jack Friedman on 28 June 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

Replace **CLAIM 1** with the following:

-- A quality assurance method for an information technology services solution, comprising the steps of:

defining a first information technology services solution by a provider having a business objective for a customer having a need;

Art Unit: 3621

electronically performing a first assurance review of said for solution to determine whether said first solution is technically viable, deliverable, and includes technical risk identification, assessment, and containment plans;

electronically performing a second assurance review of said first solution to determine whether said first solution includes complete schedules, a complete cost and profit case, and said first solution satisfies both provider business objectives and said customer need;

defining a second information technology services solution by said provider, by correcting any deficiencies identified in said first assurance review with respect to whether said first solution is technically viable, deliverable, and includes technical risk identification, assessment, and containment plans, and by correcting any deficiencies identified in said second assurance review respect to whether said first solution includes complete schedules, a complete cost and profit case, and said first solution satisfies both provider business objectives and said customer need;

obtaining a customer commitment to said second solution;

thereafter, performing a first readiness review of said second solution to identify new issues or risks which arose during said obtaining customer commitment step, determine whether delivery plans are established, and establish baselines for performances and said profit case;

if said first ready this review has determined that said delivery plans are established then periodically performing a project management review to verify said second solution is being managed as defined, meeting said profit case, and meeting said customer need; and

if said project management review has verified that said second solution is being managed as defined, meeting said profit case, in meeting said customer need then thereafter, performing a deliverable readiness review to verify that said second solution has been delivered to said customer and that said second solution satisfies the customer's need. --

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

6. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art, Eisener, "Essentials of Project and Systems Engineering Management" teaches a project management system and technique that includes reviews and assessments during the design and implementations of project solutions throughput the life cycle of the project. As shown in independent claim number 1, it is clear that the applicant's invention is distinguished over the Eisner invention in at least in the method step of defining a second information technology services solution by said provider, by correcting any deficiencies identified in said first assurance review with respect to whether said first solution is technically viable, deliverable, and includes technical risk identification, assessment, and containment plans, and by correcting any deficiencies

identified in said second assurance review respect to whether said first solution includes complete schedules, a complete cost and profit case, and said first solution satisfies both provider business objectives and said customer need. This has the effect of ensuring that the project is continuing in a timely and efficient manner. Although Eisener plainly and specifically discloses project management systems and techniques, as per the order by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inferences in the response received on November 25, 2003 (Appeal No. 2003-439,310), the application of Eisener as prior art was insufficient maintain a proper rejection.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **James A**. **Reagan** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-9131**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3900**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 308-1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

JAR 12 July 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600